

Eskay Creek PEA Tables

Table 1: 2019 Eskay Creek 2019 PEA Detailed Parameters and Outputs

Assumptions	
Gold Price (US\$)	\$1,325
Silver Price (US\$)	\$16
Exchange Rate (US\$/C\$)	0.77
Discount Rate	5%
Royalties	1%
Contained Metals	
Contained Gold Ounces (koz)	2,212
Contained Silver Ounces (koz)	53,404
Contained AuEq Ounces (koz)	2,857
Mining	
Mine Life (Years)	8.6
Strip Ratio (Waste:Mineralization)	7.2:1
Total Tonnage Mined (t)	175,270
Total Mineralized Material Mined (t)	21,307
Processing	
Processing Throughput (TPD)	6,850
Average Diluted Gold Grade (g/t)	3.23
Average Diluted Silver Grade (g/t)	78
Average Diluted Gold Equivalent Grade (g/t)	4.17
Production	
Gold Recovery	91.1%
Silver Recovery	92.4%
LOM Gold Production (koz)	2,022
LOM Silver Production (koz)	49,872
LOM Gold Equivalent Production (koz)	2,624
LOM Average Annual Gold Production (koz)	236
LOM Average Annual Silver Production (koz)	5,812
LOM Average Annual Gold Equivalent Production (koz)	306
Operating Costs	
Mining Cost (C\$/t Mined)	\$3.44
Mining Cost (C\$/t Milled)	\$26.32
Processing Cost (C\$/t Milled)	\$21.64
G&A Cost (C\$/t Milled)	\$6.06
Total Operating Cost (C\$/t Milled)	\$54.03
Cash Costs and AISC	
LOM Cash Cost (US\$/oz Au) Net of Silver By-Product	\$582
LOM Cash Cost (US\$/oz AuEq) Co-Product	\$731
LOM AISC (US\$/oz Au) Net of Silver By-Product	\$615
LOM AISC (US\$/oz AuEq) Co-Product	\$757
Capital Expenditures	
Pre-Production Capital Expenditures (C\$M)	\$303
Sustaining Capital Expenditures (C\$M)	\$27
Reclamation Cost (C\$M)	\$52
Economics	
After-Tax NPV (5%) (C\$M)	\$638
After-Tax IRR	51%
After-Tax Payback Period (Years)	1.2

After-Tax NPV:CAPEX Ratio	2.1:1
Pre-Tax NPV (5%) (C\$M)	\$993
Pre-Tax IRR	63%
Pre-Tax Payback Period (Years)	1.1
Pre-Tax NPV:CAPEX Ratio	3.3:1
Average Annual After-Tax Free Cash Flow (Year 1-9) (C\$M)	\$147
LOM After-Tax Free Cash Flow (C\$M)	\$959

Table 2: After-Tax NPV (5%) and IRR Sensitivities to Commodity Prices

	Lower Case	Base Case	Higher Case
Gold Price (US\$/oz)	\$1,200	\$1,325	\$1,500
Silver Price (US\$/oz)	\$14	\$16	\$18
After-Tax NPV (5%) (C\$M)	\$453	\$638	\$878
After-Tax IRR (%)	40%	51%	63%
After-Tax Payback (Years)	1.6	1.2	0.9
Average Annual After-Tax Free Cash Flow (Years 1-9) (C\$M)	\$117	\$147	\$187

Table 3: Pit constrained Mineral Resource Statement reported at 0.7 g/t AuEq cut-off:

	Tonnes (000)	Grade			Contained Ounces		
		AuEq	Au	Ag	AuEq	Au	Ag
		g/t	g/t	g/t	oz (000)	oz (000)	oz (000)
Total Indicated	12,650	5.8	4.3	110	2,340	1,740	44,660
Total Inferred	14,420	2.9	2.3	47	1,340	1,050	21,720

Table 4: Underground Mineral Resource Statement reported at a 5.0 g/t AuEq cut-off:

	Tonnes (000)	Grade			Contained Ounces		
		AuEq	Au	Ag	AuEq	Au	Ag
		g/t	g/t	g/t	oz (000)	oz (000)	oz (000)
Total Indicated	819	8.2	6.4	139	218	169	3,657
Total Inferred	295	8.2	7.1	82	78	68	778

1. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves as they do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that all or any part of the Mineral Resources estimated will be converted into Mineral Reserves.
2. Results are reported in-situ and undiluted and are considered to have reasonable prospects for economic extraction
3. The quantity and grade of reported Inferred Mineral Resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred Mineral Resources as an Indicated Mineral Resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated Mineral Resource category.
4. For the PEA study, the open-pit block model was regularized to 9 m x 9 m x 4 m whole blocks using mineralization greater than 0.5 g/t AuEq within a single mineralization percent field; therefore a slight difference exists between the resources reported herein, and the resources released in the February 28, 2019 press release
5. The number of metric tonnes and ounces were rounded to the nearest thousand. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding
6. Reported underground resources are exclusive of the resources reported within the conceptual pit shell
7. Cut-off grades are based on a price of US\$1,275 per ounce of gold, US\$17 per ounce silver, and gold recoveries of 80%, silver recoveries of 90% and without considering revenues from other metals. AuEq = Au (g/t) + (Ag (g/t) / 75)
8. Estimates use metric units (meters, tonnes and g/t). Metals are reported in troy ounces (metric tonne * grade / 31.10348)
9. CIM definitions were followed for the classification of mineral resources

Table 5: Project Capital Cost Estimates (C\$M) (totals may differ due to rounding):

	Contingency	Initial	Sustaining	LOM Total
Mine				
Pre-Stripping		\$62		\$62
Mining Equipment		\$14	\$6	\$20
Mine Capital		\$7	\$3	\$9
Sub-Total Mine	\$4	\$83	\$9	\$91
Processing				
Bulk Earthworks		\$7		\$7
Processing		\$74	\$7	\$81
Reagents & Plant Services		\$7	\$1	\$8
Tailings & Water Treatment		\$19	\$2	\$21
Onsite Infrastructure		\$22	\$2	\$23
Sub-Total Processing	\$21	\$129	\$12	\$141
Infrastructure				
Power		\$13		\$13
TSF, Water Supply & Treatment		\$2	\$4	\$6
Sub-Total Infrastructure	\$5	\$15	\$4	\$19
Total Directs		\$226	\$24	\$250
Indirects	\$7	\$27		\$27
Total Directs + Indirects		\$253	\$24	\$277
Owner's Costs	\$4	\$10		\$10
Total excluding contingency		\$263	\$24	\$287
Project Contingency	\$40		\$3	\$43
Sub-total including contingency		\$303	\$27	\$330
Closure			\$52	\$52
Total		\$303	\$79	\$382

Qualified Persons

In accordance with National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects, Paul Geddes, P.Geol. Vice President Exploration and Resource Development, is the Qualified Person for the Company and has prepared, validated and approved the technical and scientific content of this news release.

Sheila Ulansky, P.Geol., Senior Resource Geologist for SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc., is an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI43-101 and has reviewed and approved the contents of this news release. Ms. Ulansky is responsible for the 2019 Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eskay Creek Project.

Robin Kalanchey, P.Eng, Director, Minerals & Metals - Western Canada for Ausenco Engineering Inc., is an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI43-101 and has reviewed and approved the contents of this news release. Mr. Kalanchey is responsible for processing, process and infrastructure capital and operating cost estimation, financial analysis and marketing.

Gordon Zurowski, P.Eng, Principal Mining Engineer for AGP Mining Consultants Inc., is an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI43-101 and has reviewed and approved the contents of this news release. Mr. Zurowski is responsible for mine capital and operating cost estimation and supervision of the mine design.

Adrian Dance, P.Eng, Principal Consultant (Metallurgy) for SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc., is an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI43-101 and has reviewed and approved the contents of this news release. Dr. Dance is responsible for mineral processing and metallurgical testing.

The Company strictly adheres to CIM Best Practices Guidelines in conducting, documenting, and reporting the exploration and development activities on its projects.

Cautionary note regarding forward-looking statements

Certain statements made and information contained herein may constitute “forward looking information” and “forward looking statements” within the meaning of applicable Canadian and United States securities legislation. These statements and information are based on facts currently available to the Company and there is no assurance that actual results will meet management’s expectations. Forward-looking statements and information may be identified by such terms as “anticipates”, “believes”, “targets”, “estimates”, “plans”, “expects”, “may”, “will”, “could” or “would”. Forward-looking statements and information contained herein are based on certain factors and assumptions regarding, among other things, the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, the realization of resource and reserve estimates, metal prices, taxation, the estimation, timing and amount of future exploration and development, capital and operating costs, the availability of financing, the receipt of regulatory approvals, environmental risks, title disputes and other matters. While the Company considers its assumptions to be reasonable as of the date hereof, forward-looking statements and information are not guarantees of future performance and readers should not place undue importance on such statements as actual events and results may differ materially from those described herein. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statements or information except as may be required by applicable securities laws.